| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|--|
| | 10/766,336 | HO ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Stephen Alvesteffer | 2175 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: | | |
| (1) Stephen Alvesteffer, examiner of record. | (3) | |
| (2) <u>David J. McKenzie, Reg.#46,919, for applicant</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: <u>15 June 2009</u> | Time: <u>2:00PM</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | cant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: N/A | | |
| Claims discussed: 43-52 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: N/A | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENI Claims 43-52 recited a utility that can be interpreted as software Amendment to put the application in condition for allowance. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa | he examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| /Stephen Alvesteffer/ Examiner, Art Unit 2175 | (Applicant/Applicant's Representat | ive Signature – if appropriate) |
| | | |